Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,144	KANEKO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, I	PTO personnel):	
(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.	(3)	
(2) Garth Dahlen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 03 February 2009.		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applican	t 2) applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Ye If Yes, brief description:	s e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>7</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Ziegler,		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reache	d. g) was not reached.	h) 🗆 N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the ger reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' attorney</u> not have been rejected over art since claim 7 depende	contacted the examiner and	it was agreed that claim 7 should
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ar allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be atta	no copy of the amendments	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFI INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply is GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LON INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	to the last Office action has a GER OF ONE MONTH OR T S INTERVIEW SUMMARY F	fready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS DRM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
/Jeffrey C. Mullis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796		